Interview Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)			
	09/525,365	Forbes et al.		
	Examiner	 	Group Art Unit	
	Khanh Dan	9	2837	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Khanh Dang	(3)			
(2) Mr. Wright (Atty)	(4)	 		
Date of Interview Jun 27, 2001	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's rep	oresentative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, bri	ef descripti	on:	
Claim(s) discussed: 1 Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	•			vas reached, or
It is the applicants' argument that the use of fiberglass (c	claim 1) is not obvious	s over the c	rited prior art. Ap	plicants will
file a response which will be fully considered by the exami	iner.			
				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the sul	stance of t	he interview (if t	oox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM	AL WRITTEN REPLY	TO THE LA	ST OFFICE ACT	ION MUST

liners Reser

KHANH DANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2100
KHANH DANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2837

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached